Youth Health Review

Proposed Youth Health Hub - Consultation Process and Findings

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to outline the process and findings of the Waitemata District Health Board Youth Health Review Consultation, undertaken by the Youth Health Funding Team in June/July 2010.

Background

In December 2009 the Waitemata District Health Board approved E Tu Taitamariki - Young People Stand Tall: Strategic Directions for Youth Health in Waitemata 2009-2014. The five strategic directions are:

- 1. Improve access to primary health care for the youth population, including enrolment in Primary Health Organisations (PHOs);
- 2. Deliver consistent, high quality, school-based health services;
- 3. Consider the need and potential for youth-specific community-based health services that are targeted to the most vulnerable populations e.g. a youth one-stop shop;
- 4. Ensure that young people are receiving a 'fair share' of health services and that services are configured in such a way as to improve health outcomes and reduce inequalities in health status;
- 5. Ensure that there is ongoing participation by young people in the design, delivery and evaluation of health services.

The review of youth health services was undertaken as the first step towards implementing the strategic directions for youth health in Waitemata in early 2010 (see Youth Health Review Findings Document for method and findings). The review phase included a programme review of 24 services and a literature review of the three service development priority areas (identified in the strategic directions).

Consultation Process

Initial findings were presented back to key stakeholders reviewed over a three week consultation period, Monday the 21st of June – Friday the 9th of July. Stakeholders were requested to provide written feedback via post, email, fax on hand delivered documents. A key stakeholder hui was held a Te Waitakere Marae in West Auckland, on Friday the 25th of June. All 24 service providers from services reviewed were invited to attend the consultation hui (see list of providers reviewed). The hui was attended by 55 service provider stakeholders. Initial findings of both the programme and literature reviews, along with consultation process information were collated and circulated to inform the consultation process (see youth review feedback document – appendix 1).

Hui Format

The consultation hui format included the following elements: Powhiri and official welcome from Waitemata District Health Board Maori health Staff. A young person was also engaged as an independent facilitator. Three main presentations were delivered, followed by a group workshop session and a question and answer session. The three presentations included: A summary of the programme review findings – Philip Grady, a summary of youth views (WDHB Youth Advisory Group Facilitator) – Ramon Narayan and a summary of literature review findings – Terry Fleming.

Consultation Questions

The following are the consultation questions Waitemata District health Board sought feedback on from the youth health sector:

- 1. The following components of the proposed model have been identified as priorities. Do you agree/disagree with these priorities? Yes/ No? If not, why not?
- HUB/Sector development, including leadership, training, clinical governance, quality;
- HUB 'door' for 'high risk', 'high needs', or 'underserved' young people;
- Consistent health services in targeted schools;
- Consistent and district wide access to youth-specific primary mental health
- 2. Do you agree with the model? Yes/ No? If not, why not?
- 3. Do you think this will work? Yes/ No? If not, why not?
- 4. Is this likely to contribute to reducing inequalities for Maori and Pacific? Yes/ No? If not, why not?

Key Questions

The following is a summary of both themes and questions arising from consultation, via email and the consultation hui. In some instances information gathered through consultation related directly to the consultation questions put to stakeholders by WDHB, in other instances further questions and other related issues were raised by stakeholders during the consultation process.

Summary of stakeholder responses and	WDUR Responses
questions emerging through consultation	WDHB Responses
 Hub Support Support and endorsement of Hub. General support for Hub and 'door' in North and West. Assuming existing services remain. 	WDHB have committed to ensuring young people maintain an equitable share of funding for youth health services.
Locality Planning	• Locality planning will be undertaken to ensure
 Locality service provision related to the HUB needs to consider at least three 'doors'; West, North and Rodney. Would need multiple 'doors' In terms of targeting 'high risk' YP, need to consider Birkenhead and Northcote and current lack of services. Outreach and satellite service provision essential, particularly in places where YP already hang out. Need a stronger focus on unengaged young people. Locality planning needs to take into account different local needs. School decile ratings are a crude proxy for high and unmet need, suggest other measures for high and multiple risk groups: stand-downs, youth justice, truants, alternative education and older 	that services are targeted to wards the highest and most unmet health needs for young people. It is envisaged that the Hub will have multiple doors. It is envisaged that active outreach and satellite service provision will occur as a part of the Hub service delivery. It is envisaged that the Hub model will focus specifically on unengaged young people. A broad range of measures will be considered for locality planning.
youth training institutes. Linkages and collaboration	The Hub service is intended to track tangible
 HUB will allow for better and more organised collaboration. Supports the HUB model as an approach 	progress resulting from more organised collaboration and better service connections. • It is envisaged that Hub establishment will

Summary of stakeholder responses and **WDHB Responses** questions emerging through consultation for reducing inequalities as will provide incorporate links with PHOs and MSD. better service connections from HUB. • It is envisaged that the detail of linkages between General Practice and Public Health Need good links with PHOs and other key providers MSD ect. Nurses, along with a range of other service • Concern about service linkages to General providers will need to be defined as the operational service model is defined in detail. Practice, Public Health Nurses and other This will occur as a part of the establishment and providers. implementation process. Suggest combining primary and secondary **Maori Health** • It is intended that the locality planning will concern voiced regarding adequately highlight Maori health needs and commitment to prioritising Maori health priority localities to target young Maori. and ensuring that Maori youth needs are Maori participation will Appropriate he adequately addressed. considered in the Hub development and • A concern voiced regarding the funding implementation. processes and decision making for youth-• Whanau ora linkages for the Youth Hub will be services targeted predominantly towards determined as a part of the establishment and young Maori. implementation process. • Need strong links to Ngati Whatua and Tainui for regional issues. Model is lacking specificity regarding whanau ora. Evidence-base • The Hub development has drawn extensively on • Need to draw on Palmerston North YOSS literature, including information from example. Palmerston North example. • Unsure about Hub model, unsure what • The literature review looked at all three service models were reviewed. priority areas, including: general practice, school-based health services and communitybased (youth health services and youth one stop shops). **Funding Constraints** The Waitemata District Health Board is • Supportive of Hub Model, concerned re: responsible for sign off on funding decisions sustainability and longevity. associated with the youth health Hub. • The PBMA YOSS project for scoping the Concern around the funding source and development of a YOSS was aligned with the reconfiguration process for establishing the service. review processes. • Will the YOSS PBMA funding contribute towards the Hub establishment. **Building on the strengths** • It is envisaged that the establishment process • Concern that any new services should will need to give adequate consideration to build on the strengths of existing services service continuity and workforce retention. and established relationships • Concern regarding the need to retain and

Hub Detail

workforce.

 Extensive feedback was provided from Youth Specialist Physician Dr. John Newman at HealthWEST PHO covering the

develop the small youth-specific clinical

• Please contact HealthWEST PHO to request a copy of these documents.

Summary of stakeholder responses and	WDHB Responses
questions emerging through consultation	
following areas:	
 Primary and secondary Hub functions, 	
Governance, management, services,	
staffing relationships, costs,	
responsiveness to Rangatahi Maori,	
targeting of school-based health services	
and general practice for young people.	

Appendix 1 – Youth Health Review Feedback Document



Youth Health Review Feedback P...

Appendix 2 - Youth Health Hui Agenda



Youth Health eview Feedback H...

Appendix 3 – Youth Health Funder presentation: Philip Grady

- Overview
- Programme Review



Youth Health Review Feedback J..

Appendix 4 - Presentation of Youth Views: Ramon Narayan



Appendix 5 – Presentation of Literature Review Findings: Terry Fleming



NDHB Youth Health Literature R...

Appendix 6 - A list of written submissions received during consultation

Name	Organisation	Date	Method	Description
Valerie	Whitiki Maurea	29/06/2010	Email	Questions regarding consultation
Williams				document
Joshua	Marinoto	30/06/2010	Email	Written submission response to
Domican				consultation questions
Karl Snowden	Te Whanau o	25/06/2010	Hui and	Written submission of further
	Waipareira Trust	02/07/2010	Email	questions generated via
Rebecca	Child and Family	00/07/2010	Email	consultation. Written submission of further
Gordon	Child and Family North	08/07/2010	Email	
Gordon	North			questions generated via consultation.
Lorraine	Te Whanau o	08/07/2010	Email	Written submission response to
Symons	Waipareira Trust			consultation questions.
Elsie Mann	Child Women and	08/07/2010	Email	Written submission response to
	Family			consultation questions.
Aroha Hudson	HealthWEST	09/07/2010	Email	Written notification of process
		/ / /		concerns.
Aroha Hudson	HealthWEST	09/07/2010	Email	Written submission and
				powerpoint presentation response to consultation
				questions.
Aroha Hudson	HealthWest	09/07/2010	Email	Request for extension of
Arona riadson	ricaltitvest	03/07/2010	Lilian	consultation timeframe to allow
				for supplementary written
				submission.
Aroha Hudson	HealthWest	09/07/2010	Email	Written submission response to
				consultation questions.
Lorelle George	Habour Health	09/07/2010	Email	Written submission response to
				consultation questions.
Nancy Malloy	Coast to Coast	11/07/2010	Email	Request for extension of
				consultation timeframe.
Adrienne	Child Women and	12/07/2010	Email	Request for extension of
Powderley	Family Services			consultation timeframe, due to
				technical error.
				Written submission response to
				consultation questions.